# NONLINEAR EDGE-ENHANCEMENT FILTER

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This present invention relates generally to digital data filtering, and more particularly

5    to non-linear filtering for enhancing data edge features.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Digital images represent visual information as a spatial array of sampled intensity,

color, or other spectral information. Sampling is typically done on a regular grid, such as a

hexagonal grid pattern, or most commonly, a rectangular or square grid pattern. To avoid

10   creating digital aliasing artifacts, the sampling must obey the Nyquist criterion, i.e., the image

is typically blurred or filtered prior to sampling such that the highest spatial frequencies

present complete no more than one cycle for every two samples.

For various reasons, a digital image may be blurred to a much larger extent than that

required by Nyquist. The optics that focused the image may be imperfect or out of focus, or

15   the subject or optics may have moved during sampling. The image may be band-limited due

to lossy compression, or filtered to reduce transmission bandwidth. In such cases, it may be

desirable to run an edge-sharpening filter to "restore" lost high-frequency content prior to

image display. In other cases, an edge-sharpening filter could be used simply to create an

aesthetically pleasing effect on an otherwise focused and full-bandwidth image.

20   Many researchers have proposed methods for sharpening image edges. Linear

filtering methods include unsharp masking, which creates a derivative image of high-spatial

frequency regions on an image, and then adds the derivative image to the original. Such

methods are discussed in G. Ramponi and A. Polesel, "A Rational Unsharp Masking

Technique", Journal of Electronic Imaging, vol. 7, no. 2, April 1998, pp. 333-38.

25   Unfortunately, like real edges, noise also has high-spatial frequency, and thus unsharp

masking tends to enhance image noise. Unsharp masking can also produce overshoot and undershoot near image edges.

Deblurring can also be done using deconvolution filtering. Such methods attempt to invert the blurring process by processing the image with an inverse estimate of the blurring function. Such solutions are also susceptible to noise, and can have stability problems.

Other researchers have proposed non-linear methods for sharpening image edges. One such approach is "shock filters", as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,644,513, "System Incorporating Feature-Oriented Signal Enhancement Using Shock Filters", issued to L. Rudin and S. Osher. The shock filter is an iterative "diffusion" process that uses partial differential equations and relies on locating the image edge nearest each pixel, and that edge's orientation. Once that edge is identified, the pixel is adjusted to be more like its neighbor or neighbors that are further away from the edge. Through successive iterations, image edges become sharper as more pixels become like the pixels away from the edges. Because this method relies on locating edges, e.g., through locating zero-crossing points in a second derivative image, its success is also limited by noise that can fool an edge detector, as well as by the effectiveness of the edge detector in locating actual edges. Further, numerical stability must be balanced against convergence speed, and it may be difficult to determine how many iterations should be performed.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present disclosure provides a new approach to non-linear diffusion filters. Instead of relying on gradient operators to estimate edge locations, the disclosed approach uses filtering based on local minima and maxima. It is believed that the disclosed min/max approach is often superior to a gradient-based filter, in that it is less sensitive to noise, can converge quickly, and is computationally simple. The proposed methods can robustly sharpen edges without introducing new artifacts, and are conceptually and practically

straightforward to implement.

In one aspect of the present invention, a method of processing a digital data array is disclosed. The method comprises locating maximum and minimum sample values within a local window, in the array, containing a sample to be diffused. An edge deflection value

5    between the maximum and minimum values is defined. When the sample has a value lower than the edge deflection value, a negative diffusion quantity is calculated, based on the position of the sample value between the minimum and the edge deflection value. When the sample has a value greater than the edge deflection value, a positive diffusion quantity is calculated, based on the position of the sample value between the edge deflection value and

10    the maximum. In either case, the diffusion quantity is added to the value of the sample of interest to form a diffused sample value.

In another aspect of the invention, an article of manufacture is disclosed. The article of manufacture comprises a computer-readable medium containing executable or interpretable instructions for a processor that, when executed, cause the processor to perform

15    the method detailed above.

In another aspect of the invention, an apparatus for processing a digital data array is disclosed. The apparatus has means for identifying the maximum and minimum sample values occurring within a supplied window of the array, the window also containing the sample to be diffused. The apparatus also has means for selecting an edge deflection value

20    having a value between the maximum and minimum pixel values. The apparatus further comprises means for calculating a diffusion quantity based on the sample to be diffused, the maximum, the minimum, and the edge deflection values. Finally, circuitry is included for adding the diffusion quantity to the sample to be diffused.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

25    The invention may be best understood by reading the disclosure with reference to the

drawing, wherein:

Figure 1a illustrates a digitally sampled rectangular pulse;

Figure 1b illustrates the pulse of Figure 1 after blurring;

Figure 1c illustrates the blurred pulse of Figure 1b with additive noise;

5        Figure 1d overlays the pulses of Figures 1a-1c;

Figure 2 locates, on a graph, various points used to calculate a diffused sample value

for a sample in a digital data array;

Figure 3a shows the pulse of Figure 1c, along with two diffused versions of the pulse

formed according to an embodiment of the invention;

10       Figure 3b shows an enlarged section of the curves of Figure 3a, overlaid for

comparison;

Figure 4a plots a low-pass-filtered version of the noisy pulse of Figure 1c, overlaid on

the blurred pulse of Figure 1b;

Figure 4b shows the low-pass-filtered pulse of Figure 4a, along with six diffused

15   versions of the pulse formed according to an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 4c shows an enlarged section of the curves of Figure 4b, overlaid for

comparison;

Figure 5 contains a block diagram for an apparatus that operates according to an

embodiment of the invention;

20       Figure 6 contains a more-detailed block diagram for an apparatus that operates

according to an embodiment of the invention; and

Figure 7 contains a flowchart for an image enhancement method according to an

embodiment of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE EMBODIMENTS

25       The following examples rely heavily on one-dimensional digital data arrays, such as

might be obtained by temporally sampling the output of a sensor. Such examples are easily comprehended and are presented for ease of description. Nevertheless, those skilled in the art will recognize that the present invention has application for a general *n*-dimensional array, regardless of the source of the data in the array. Particular embodiments of the present

5    invention have been demonstrated to work well on two-dimensional data arrays such as grayscale and color images.

Referring first to Figures 1a and 1b, a one-dimensional digitally sampled rectangular pulse is illustrated, with the leading pulse edge centered at sample 24 and the trailing pulse edge centered at sample 40. Each pulse has a minimum value L1 and a maximum value L2.

10    The difference between the two figures is the sharpness of the pulse edges. For a one-dimensional signal $s(x)$, a positive step edge can be characterized by the equation

$$s(x; b, c, ed, t) = b + \frac{c}{2}(1 + erf((x - ed) / \sqrt{2t})),$$

where $b$ corresponds to L1 in Figures 1a and 1b, $c$ is a contrast corresponding to L2-L1, $ed$ is the center of the edge (the second derivative zero-crossing, a value of 24 in the Figures), and $t$

15    is a scale parameter controlling the sharpness of the edge. Figure 1a shows sharp step edges, with $t$ approximately 1 for pulse 20, and Figure 1b shows blurred step edges with $t$ approximately 8 for pulse 22.

One use of a filter such as described herein is to recover pulse 20 from a blurred version, e.g., pulse 22. This may further be complicated by the presence of noise in the

20    sampled data, as illustrated in Figure 1c. Pulse 24 illustrates pulse 22 corrupted with additive, non-band-limited noise, which produces a series of "false" edges in the data. Figure 1d overlays pulses 20, 22, and 24 for comparison.

A preferred approach disclosed herein is to attempt to recover, e.g., pulse 20 from pulse 22 or pulse 24, by a recursive process. At each step, this process approximates the

recovery of $s(x; b, c, ed, t)$ from $s(x; b, c, ed, 2t)$. The following description refers to Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows a section of a one-dimensional data array 30, from sample 32 to sample 40. Over this sample range, data array 30 drops smoothly from a local region with a

5    constant value of 19 into a local region with a gradient of constant slope 4/sample. A non-linear filter according to an embodiment of the invention produces the diffused array represented by curve 32 according to the following calculations.

A window W is defined for each sample to be diffused. In Figure 2, W is centered on the current pixel of interest $s$ (sample number 36), and has a width of seven samples.

10    Within the local window, the maximum and minimum sample values, *max* and *min*, are located. For W as shown in Figure 2, *max* = 19 using either sample 33 or sample 34, and *min* = 5 at sample 39.

Using the *max* and *min* for the current window, a midpoint, or more generally, an edge deflection value *ed* is defined. Where *ed* is a midpoint, it can be calculated as

15    $(max + min)/2$. In Figure 2, the current value of *ed* is calculated at 12.

The value of the current sample $s$ (in this example $s = 16$) is compared to *ed*. When $s$ has a value lower than *ed*, a diffusion quantity $d$ is calculated using the equation:

$$d = \frac{(s - \min)(s - ed)}{\max - \min}.$$

Otherwise, as in the illustrated case, $d$ is calculated using the equation:

20    $$d = \frac{(\max - s)(s - ed)}{\max - \min}.$$

Using the example values, $d = 0.86$.

An adjusted sample $s'$ is formed by adding $d$ to $s$, e.g., $s' = 16.86$ for the example of Figure 3.

Given this simple example and the equations above, several observations can be

made. First, the edge represented in data 30 is definitely enhanced, as illustrated in data 32. Second, the *max* and *min* within each local window are unchanged during an iteration, that is, if the current sample is a local maximum or minimum it will not be adjusted. Likewise, if the current sample is exactly midway between the local *max* and *min* (that is, $s = ed$), it will not be adjusted (thus samples in the interior of constant gradient local regions will not be appreciably changed in a given iteration, see sample 40 in Figure 2). If a sample is between *max* and *ed*, it will be adjusted toward *max*, with a maximum adjustment if the sample is exactly halfway between *max* and *ed*. If a sample is between *ed* and *min*, it will be adjusted toward *min*, with a maximum adjustment if the sample is exactly halfway between *ed* and *min*. Finally, the gain of the adjustment is scaled by the local contrast, *max − min*, such that small adjustments are made on small edges, large adjustments are made in large edges, and edge sharpening converges similarly for all.

There are other ways to view the equations presented above. For instance, an adaptive gain *a* can be defined, using a deviation from the midpoint, $dev = s - ed$, and the local contrast $c = max - min$, as:

$$a = \frac{1}{2} - \left| \frac{|dev|}{c} - \frac{1}{2} \right|.$$

The adjusted sample value *s'* is then calculated with a first order exponential filter based on the distance from *s* to the nearest local extrema, using the adaptive gain *a*:

$$s' = s + a(\text{max} - s) \quad dev > 0$$
$$s' = s + a(\text{min} - s) \quad dev < 0$$

This approach has several advantages over prior art edge enhancement techniques. It is straightforward to generalize it to *n* dimensions, since "gradient calculations" only involve location of two local extrema, *max* and *min*. No estimation of edge orientation is required. The technique is also numerically stable (in the degenerate no-contrast case where *max* =

*min*, no adjustment is necessary; in all other cases, the result is bounded). No new local

extrema are produced. Further, convergence speed is quite fast, generally reaching a scale $t_2$

from an original scale $t_1$ in $\log_2\left(\dfrac{t_2}{t_1}\right)$ iterations.

Several examples will now be presented. Figure 3a shows two iterations on the noisy

5      blurred sample data 24 using a window W of seven samples, producing respective data

curves 26 and 28. Figure 3b shows a magnified section of Figure 3a, with data from curves

24, 26, and 28 overlaid on a dashed curve from the original blurred data 22. Between

samples 20 and 30, curve 28 shows a substantially straighter and steeper edge than either the

original noisy or the uncorrupted blurred data.

10      Because the noise in curve 24 was not band-limited, some interesting side effects are

present. At samples 38 and 39, a noise spike actually *reversed* the gradient on the falling

edge of the rectangular pulse. This reversal was at least initially processed as an edge to be

enhanced, and can be seen growing larger in curves 26 and 28. In further iterations, not

shown, the false edge would in this case diminish and finally be absorbed, but would require

15      additional iterations after other, true edges had converged. To avoid such anomalies, one

embodiment of the invention performs an intentional pre-blurring step to remove high-

frequency noise, ringing, half-toning, dithering, etc. found in some data sources.

Figures 4a-4c show the results of applying an embodiment of the invention with a pre-

blurring step. In Figure 4a, the original blurred data 22 and noisy blurred data 24 are

20      overlaid. Also overlaid is a data curve 40, generated by convolving noisy blurred data 24

with a simple width-five boxcar filter. The boxcar filter filters the high-frequency noise

considerably, but at the same time results in additional pulse spreading in the real pulse,

particularly evident by comparing curves 22 and 40 at about samples 20, 37, and 44.

Six iterations of the inverse diffusion process, applied recursively to pre-blurred curve 40, are shown respectively in Figures 4b and 4c as curves 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, and 52. Figure 4b includes contour lines at the 10% and 90% of full contrast points, illustrating the rate of convergence towards a square pulse at the true edge locations (samples 24 and 40). One edge, at sample 25, has essentially converged after six iterations, and the others are close, perhaps two to three iterations away from convergence. Figure 4c shows a magnified section of the same curves, overlaid with each other and with the original sharp pulse 20.

Embodiments of the present invention are amenable to implementation in either hardware or software. Software implementations can include executable or interpretable code for configuring a microprocessor, a digital signal processor, or other programmable digital device, including multi-processor devices, to perform inverse diffusion methods according to the present invention. The software itself may be embodied in any article of manufacture comprising a computer-readable medium, such as magnetic, optical, electrical, or semiconductor storage media, which may be integrated with or connected to the processor through a bus, or reachable through a network connection.

Figure 5 shows a block diagram for a hardware implementation 100. A sample $s$ and samples from a local window $W$ containing $s$ are supplied to device 100. Samples from $W$ pass to Max/Min locator 110, which can, e.g., sort samples, compare samples sequentially to a first max and min and use the comparison to update max and min, or use a multi-stage parallel comparator to arrive at output values *max* and *min* for window $W$.

Outputs *max* and *min* pass to edge deflection selector 120, which generates an edge deflection value *ed* accordingly. If *ed* is defined as the midpoint between *max* and *min*, and the system uses binary integer input, selector 120 can be constructed from an adder and a shifter; the adder adds *max* and *min*, and the shifter takes the adder output and shifts it one bit

right to form *ed*.

Outputs *max* and *min* also pass to diffusion quantity calculator 130, along with *ed* and *s*. Calculator 130 produces diffusion quantity *d*, e.g., using adders, multipliers, and/or dividers to perform the equivalent of the equations disclosed in the examples above for *d* (in some embodiments, lookup tables could avoid at least some computations). Sample diffuser 140 adds *d* to *s* to produce diffused output sample *s'*.

Figure 6 shows another block diagram, for a device 200 operating on an input image *S*. A pixel *s* and a local window *W* surrounding that pixel are extracted from image *S* and passed to device 200. Max/Min locator 110 operates as in the last embodiment. A contrast value *c* is calculated by adder 202, which subtracts *min* from *max*. Multiplier 204 combines contrast *c* and a programmable edge constant, *ec*, (set to 0.5 if the midpoint is selected as *ed*), and adder 206 adds this result and *min* to form the edge deflection value *ed*. Adder 208 subtracts *ed* from *s*, forming a signal with a sign bit that indicates whether *s* is above or below *ed*.

The output of adder 208 forms an input to sign unit 220, which produces a 0 output if the sign bit of its input is 0 and a 1 if the sign bit of its input is 1. The output of sign unit 220 is supplied as the address bit to 2:1 multiplexer 210. Input 0 of multiplexer 210 is the output of adder 212, which subtracts *s* from *max*. Input 1 of multiplexer 210 is the output of adder 214, which subtracts *min* from *s*. Multiplexer 210 selects as its output Δ either the value of input 0, if the supplied address is 0, or the value of input 1, if the supplied address is 1.

Multiplier 216 combines the output of adder 208 with Δ, supplying this result to input *a* of *a/b* (divide) circuit 230. Input *b* of divide circuit 230 receives contrast *c* from adder 202. Divide circuit 230 divides input *a* by input *b*, producing diffusion quantity *d*. Finally, adder

232 adds $d$ and $s$ to produce diffused pixel $s'$.

Preferably, device 200, or a device supplying inputs to device 200, contains a sequencer that can step through all $M$ rows and $N$ columns of image $S$, by stepping through all combinations of $i$ and $j$, $0 \le i < M$ and $0 \le j < N$, and for each combination of $i$ and $j$:

5  defining $s = S(i,j)$; defining $W$ to include all samples $S(k,l)$ for which $i - w \le k \le i + w$ and $j - w \le l \le j + w$, subject to $k$ and $l$ addressing a valid row and column of $S$, where $w$ is a constant defining the half-window size (typical values are 1, 2, or 3); and at each step, passing the resultant $s$ and $W$ values to the core of device 200 shown. At the output of device 200, a second corresponding image $S'$ is formed using the values $s'$, such that $S'(i,j) = s'$.

10  Figure 7 contains a flowchart 300 for recursing on an image using an inverse diffusion process according to an embodiment of the invention. The illustrated steps are amenable to either hardware or software implementation. In general, once the last pixel of the image has been diffused (decision block 320), an iteration counter is incremented (or decremented). If the last iteration has been reached, decision block 324 transfers image s' to output; otherwise,

15  the pixel indices $i,j$ are reset, image $s'$ is copied to image $s$, and the diffusion process is restarted. Note that image transfer need not require overwriting; memory pointers may merely be reset such that $s$ points to the start of $s'$ generated during the last iteration, and $s'$ points to a new location.

Where each sample point has a multidimensional component, such as with color

20  imagery, many approaches are possible. Each component image can be diffused separately. In the alternative, a magnitude component image, such as a two-norm or infinity-norm, can be calculated, and then diffused to produce a scale factor to be used for scaling each component.

Embodiments of the invention have been tested with various types of imagery, including digitally sampled graphics, text, and natural scenes. Of particular interest is imagery that has been degraded, e.g., received grayscale or color facsimiles, half-toned or dithered images, images that have been compressed with a lossy compressor such as a JPEG compressor, etc. Such imagery will usually include visible blurring and/or compression artifacts (JPEG compression is notorious for producing ringing artifacts near edges). To avoid enhancing ringing artifacts, a preferred approach is to reduce ringing, e.g., using a Perona-Malic nonlinear anisotropic de-ring filter or other suitable de-ring/lowpass filter, prior to applying the inverse diffusion filter to the data. The inverse diffusion filter is then applied to the image for a selected number of recursions to achieve the desired image-sharpening effect. For several test images, the Perona-Malic filter was able to remove ringing artifacts, at the expense of edge crispness. Three iterations of the primary disclosed embodiment filter, with *ed* set to the midpoint between *min* and *max* and a seven-by-seven-pixel window, followed and greatly improved visual quality.

The rate and characteristics of convergence for the described embodiments can be modified by changing the gain, or even the diffusion function. The gain can be changed by merely adding a scale factor α to the diffusion quantity equations:

$$d = \alpha \frac{(\max - s)(s - ed)}{\max - \min} \qquad s < ed$$

$$d = \alpha \frac{(s - \min)(s - ed)}{\max - \min} \qquad s > ed$$

Different effects can also be realized by selecting an edge deflection value that is not midway between *min* and *max*. The equation for *ed* can be generalized to the following form

$$ed = \min + ec(\max - \min), \text{ where } 0 < ec < 1.$$

When the edge constant $ec = 0.5$, this equation reduces to a midpoint equation. When $ec = 1$, this equation reduces to $ed = max$, and all edges sharpen without moving samples towards the local maxima (all diffusion is towards the local minima). When $ec = 0$, this equation reduces to $ed = min$, and all edges sharpen without moving samples towards the local minima (all diffusion is towards the local maxima). Where the input is blurred text and black values are defined lower than white values, for example, $ec = 0$ would sharpen and thin blurred text, and $ec = 1$ would sharpen and thicken blurred text. Various intermediate effects could be achieved with other values of $ec$.

The function used to calculate diffusion quantity could also be modified. For instance, $d$ could be defined using a sine function:

$$d = \frac{\alpha}{c} \sin\left( 2\pi \frac{\max - s}{c} \right)$$

Although $ed$ does not appear explicitly in this equation, it is implicitly defined at the midpoint since the equation has collapsed to a single form. Similar sets of two sinusoidal equations could be developed for other values of $ed$.

Another choice for calculating diffusion quantity uses the error function

$$erf\, x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2}\, dt$$

and its inverse $inverf\, x$. Using these functions, the diffusion quantity can be expressed as `d = [(s-ed)/c-erf(2*inverf((s-ed)/c))]*c/2`

$$d = \frac{c}{2}\left[ \frac{(s - ed)}{c} - erf\left( 2\, inverf\left( \frac{(s - ed)}{c} \right) \right) \right].$$

The complexity of such an approach can be reduced in some embodiments by using lookup tables for the complex function values.

Another area in which computational burden can be lowered, at least for multi-dimensional data, is the identification of local maxima and minima. For instance in a two-dimensional data array, a min/max search of a $W \times W$ window has a complexity on the order of $W^2$. If memory is available to store an intermediate data array, a first pass can calculate

5 min and max values on a $1 \times W$ window and store those min and max values at an intermediate-data-array location corresponding to the center of that window. A second min/max search, on a $W \times 1$ window in the intermediate-data-array, produces the same min and max that would be found on a one-pass search of the entire $W \times W$ array. Thus the complexity of the two-pass approach is on the order of $2W$ instead of $W^2$.

10 Various other modifications to the described embodiments are possible. Other effects may be achievable using irregular window shapes, such as those that are not symmetric about the sample of interest, or provide directionality by including more samples along a selected axis. Near a data boundary, the window can shrink, samples can be mirrored, or diffusion operations may simply not be performed. The diffusion value can be quantized such that for

15 small local contrast values, the diffusion quantity rounds to zero; this tends to inhibit changes in the image except near significant edges. Many other optimizations for min/max searching, particularly where many samples from a first min/max search reappear in an overlapping second min/max search, are known to those of skill in the art. Likewise, the hardware and programmable approaches disclosed herein can be arranged in many functionally equivalent

20 arrangements. Such design considerations will be readily apparent to those of skill in the art upon reading this application, and are intended to fall within the scope of the claims.

The preceding embodiments are exemplary. Although the specification may refer to "an", "one", "another", or "some" embodiment(s) in several locations, this does not necessarily mean that each such reference is to the same embodiment(s), or that the feature

25 only applies to a single embodiment.